



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,260	02/13/2002	Domenica Simms	IVGN 261	6799
65482	7590	10/18/2007		
INVITROGEN CORPORATION C/O INTELLEVATE P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER BAUSCH, SARAE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/073,260

Applicant(s)

SIMMS ET AL.

Examiner

Sarae Bausch

Art Unit

1634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 16-18, 21-25, 27-31, 55-57, 61-63 and 66 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 16-18, 21-25, 27-31, 55-57, 61-63, and 66 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/07/2006 has been entered.
2. Currently, claims 1-11, 16-18, 21-25, 27-31, 55-57, 61-63, and 66 are pending in the instant application. Claim 12-15, 19-20, 26, 32-54, 58-60 and 64-65 have been canceled. All the amendments and arguments have been thoroughly reviewed but were found insufficient to place the instantly examined claims in condition for allowance. This action is Non-Final.

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112- New Matter

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
4. Claims 1-11, 16-18, 21-25, 27-31, 55-57, 61-63 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s)

Art Unit: 1634

contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Amendment to claims 1, 31, and 55 to recite "multi-layer filter" is not supported by the specification and raises the issue of new matter. The specification disclose a multilayer filter bed (see paragraph 41), however the specification does not disclose a multi-layer filter. The specification discloses two filter layers but does not disclose more than two filters nor a multi-layer filter (see paragraph 43 and example 1, paragraph 62). As the specification does not define the term "multi-layer", the addition of the term "multi-layer filter" changes the scope of the claims and the specification does not provide support for multi-layer filter.

Maintained Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-11, 16-18, 21-25, 27-31, 55-57, 61-63, and 66, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (PCT WO95/02049). Jones teaches a method of separating biological compounds from cells by filtration using two filters with increasing pore size in the direction of sample flow. This rejection was previously presented in section 12 of the office action mailed 12/19/2005 and is reiterated below.

With regard to claim 1, Jones (WO95/02049) teaches a method of purifying DNA (biological macromolecule) from *E. coli* bacterial culture (biological sample) by passing the cells through a 1 μ m filter followed by a 20 μ m filter (page 22, 1st full paragraph). Jones et al. teaches that the method can be used for genomic DNA (see page 4, 1st paragraph).

With regard to claim 2, Jones teaches the method of purifying nucleic acid from cells that comprises lysing a cell suspension to form a cell lysate containing nucleic acid and applying the cell lysate to a filter to remove unwanted cells and cell debris (page 2, 4th full paragraph).

With regard to claims 3-5, Jones teaches that any cell producing a target compound may be used in their invention. Jones defines a "cell" to encompass bacterial cells, cells from higher organisms for example blood cells, phage particles, and other cell types or organelles which contain the target compound and may require some form of lysis step to release it (page 3, 4th full paragraph). The cells are lysed prior to applying to the first filter (page 2, 4th full paragraph).

With regard to claims 6-11, Jones teaches that the target compound to be separated may comprise nucleic acid (instant claim 6), protein, or other desired compounds, in particular purifying recombinant proteins and antibodies (instant claim 7)(page 2, 2nd and 3rd paragraph). Jones further teaches that RNA or DNA may be purified using this invention (page 5, 2nd paragraph) (instant claim 8-11).

With regard to claims 16-18, Jones teaches the use of two filter layers to purify DNA from bacterial cells, with the first filter having 1 μ m pore size and the second filter having 20 μ m pore size (instant claims 16-18) (page 22, 1st full paragraph).

With regard to claims 21-25 and 27, Jones teaches the use of a first filter that retains unwanted cells and cell debris (instant claim 21), that is made of any material that can tolerate

Art Unit: 1634

the reagents such as cellulose acetate (acetylated cellulose) (instant claim 24 and 25) and is no greater than 50 μm in pore size and no smaller than .2 μm (instant claim 22-23) (page 6, 1st full paragraph). Jones teaches that for a nucleic acid, the filter is typically glass or resin based and can bind the nucleic acid such as borosilicate glass (see page 6, 2nd paragraph) (claim 24). Jones teaches that the first filter is no greater than 50 μm in pore size and no smaller than .2 μm (see page 6, 1st paragraph) and the second filter is a 20 μm pore size (see page 22, 1st full paragraph) (instant claim 27).

With regard to claim 28 and 29, Jones teaches the method of a membrane filter that is placed inside the column (tube) (instant claim 29) and has a cylindrical shape (instant claim 28) (page 11, last paragraph, figure 1 and figure 2).

With regard to claims 30-31, Jones teaches the method of lysing a cell suspension to form a cell lysate, applying the cell lysate to a filter to remove unwanted cells and cell debris, contacting the filtered lysate with a solid phase matrix, separating the resultant filtered lysate from the matrix, and eluting the nucleic acid from the matrix (page 2, 4th full paragraph). Jones teaches the method of purifying plasmid DNA by using a filtration method of increasing pore sizes of two filters using a 1 μm filter followed by a 20 μm filter and promoting the flow of lysate through the filters by positive pressure (page 22, 1st full paragraph).

With regard to claim 55-57 and 61-63, Jones teaches the method of lysing a cell suspension from *E. coli* (natural source) to form a cell lysate, applying the cell lysate to a filter to remove unwanted cells and cell debris, followed by contacting the filtered lysate with a solid phase matrix, separating the resultant filtered lysate from the matrix, and eluting the nucleic acid from the matrix (page 2, 4th full paragraph). Jones teaches the method of purifying plasmid

Art Unit: 1634

DNA (instant claim 57) by the method of increasing the pore sizes of the filters (instant claim 55), by using a 1 μm cellulose acetate filter followed by a 20 μm PTFE filter (instant claim 61-62) and promoting the flow of lysate through the filters by positive pressure (instant claim 56) (page 22, 1st full paragraph and Table 1, page 21). Jones teaches that for a nucleic acid, the filter is typically glass or resin based and can bind the nucleic acid such as borosilicate glass (see page 6, 2nd paragraph) (claim 63).

With regard to claim 66, Jones et al. teaches two filters that have the inherently property of shearing genomic DNA, as evidenced by applicant's own specification (see page 13, last paragraph to page 14, 1st line).

Response to Arguments

7. The response traverses the rejection on page 11 of the response mailed 12/07/2007. The response asserts that the claims are drawn to a multi-layer filter with a biological sample and assert that Jones does not disclose a multi-layer filter. The response asserts that Jones discloses two filters separated by a conduit and a chamber and therefore do not anticipate the present claims. This response has been thoroughly reviewed but not found persuasive. Claim 1 recites a "multi-layer filter", however the claim does not require nor recite that the first filter is contacted by a second filter nor does the specification provide a definition for the term "multi-layer".

Jones et al. does teach a first filter that is in a direct line to a second filter and therefore the filtration apparatus taught by Jones is a multi-layer filter as it comprises a first filter directly on top of the second filter (see figure 5). The instant pending claims does not exclude additional elements, such as two filters separated by a conduit and chamber as taught by Jones et al. and the amendment to recite "multi-layer filter" requires only that there is more than one filter layer.

Art Unit: 1634

Therefore, Jones anticipates the claimed invention. For these reasons, and the reasons made of record in the previous office actions, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

8. No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarae Bausch whose telephone number is (571) 272-2912. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and

Application/Control Number: 10/073,260

Page 8

Art Unit: 1634

history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'SB', is positioned above the printed name.

Sarah Bausch, PhD.
Examiner
Art Unit 1634